## Sexual Health Recovery For Prostate Cancer Survivors: The Proposed Role Of Acceptance And Mindfulness-Based Interventions



Jennifer A. Bossio, PhD,<sup>2</sup> Faith Miller, BSc,<sup>1</sup> Julia I. O'Loughlin, MA,<sup>1</sup> and Lori A. Brotto, PhD<sup>1</sup>

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** 1 in every 7 Canadian men is affected by prostate cancer. Given impressive advances in detection, treatment, and survival rates, there is a considerable focus on survivors' supportive care needs. Among the top unmet supportive care needs for prostate cancer survivors are concerns related to sexual health and intimacy.

**Aim:** To provide a rationale for introducing mindfulness- and acceptance-based approaches into the role of psychosexual interventions aimed at improving sexual satisfaction among prostate cancer survivors (and their partners).

**Methods:** A literature review was performed to examine the prevalence of sexual difficulties after prostate cancer treatment and the efficacy of current pharmacologic and psychological treatment approaches.

Main Outcome Measure: The main outcome measure was focused on sexual satisfaction in prostate cancer survivors.

Results: Current pharmacologic interventions for sexual difficulties after prostate cancer treatment are not fully meeting the needs of prostate cancer survivors and their partners. Conclusions cannot be drawn from existing psychological interventions because of methodologic inconsistencies. Additionally, the focus on erectile function as a measure of treatment effectiveness is likely to instill a greater sense of hopelessness and loss for prostate cancer survivors, which may exacerbate issues around sexual intimacy and satisfaction. An impressive body of evidence supports the role of mindfulness in improving women's sexual functioning and there is preliminary evidence suggesting the efficacy of this approach for improving men's sexual functioning.

Conclusion: We propose that psychosexual interventions that prioritize mindfulness and acceptance-based frameworks may help men to tune into sensations while challenging the foci on performance and erections, thereby increasing the potential for improvement to sexual satisfaction among prostate cancer survivors. Bossio JA, Miller F, O'Loughlin JI, et al. Sexual Health Recovery for Prostate Cancer Survivors: The Proposed Role of Acceptance and Mindfulness-Based Interventions. Sex Med Rev 2019;7:627—635.

Copyright © 2019, International Society for Sexual Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Key Words: Prostate Cancer; Mindfulness-Based Therapy; Acceptance; Survivorship; Sexual Function

#### PREVALENCE OF PROSTATE CANCER

Prostate cancer is a disease of increasing global significance.<sup>1</sup> In North America, prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in men and the second-most common cause of cancer-related death among men.<sup>2</sup> Although less common in developing countries, the incidence and mortality associated with prostate cancer has been increasing worldwide.<sup>3</sup> Based on 2013–2015 data, approximately 11.2% of men will receive a diagnosis of prostate

mated 186,320 men receiving a prostate cancer diagnosis annually in the United States.<sup>6</sup> Prostate cancer develops predominantly in older men, with about 6 in 10 cases being diagnosed in men above the age of 65. With an aging population, the number of men treated for prostate cancer is expected to rise.<sup>7</sup>

cancer during their lifetime.<sup>4</sup> Currently, there are 22,300 men diagnosed with prostate cancer each year in Canada<sup>5</sup> and an esti-

Fortunately, as a result of early prostate-specific antigen testing, prostate cancer is now being diagnosed at an earlier age, and survival rates are increasing. So Currently, the 5-, 10-, and 15-year survival rates are 100%, 98%, and 95%, respectively. Despite advances in prostate cancer testing and treatment, approximately 90% of men diagnosed and treated for prostate cancer will experience significant side effects that persist long after cancer concerns have subsided. These side effects negatively impact patient and partner quality of life, 13,14 and, for

Received January 22, 2019. Accepted March 7, 2019.

Copyright  $\circledcirc$  2019, International Society for Sexual Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sxmr.2019.03.001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Queen's University, Departments of Gynecology, and Urology, Kingston, Ontario, Canada

some men, this will impact their decision to undergo treatment at all.  $^{15}$ 

### SURVIVORSHIP NEEDS AFTER PROSTATE CANCER

Of the side effects endured by prostate cancer survivors, issues relating to sexual function and intimacy are most commonly reported and are consistently considered among the most distressing unmet need in this patient population. Sexual function is compromised regardless of prostate cancer treatment due to a number of different factors. Prostatectomy and radiotherapy damage the erectile nerves and blood vessels in the prostate, which can lead to fibrosis of the corpus cavernosa, resulting in erectile dysfunction. These treatments can also result in penile deformities, reduced sexual desire, urinary incontinence, "dry orgasms" (ie, a lack of ejaculate with climax), as well as ejaculatory and orgasmic disorders.

Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT), another common prostate cancer treatment, can result in loss of libido, fatigue, penile shrinkage, weight gain, and gynecomastia (ie, enlarged breast tissue). Anatomic changes resulting from ADT use add a significant challenge to patients' sexual satisfaction and sexual function, because body image and self-image are often profoundly impacted by these side effects and, thus, further impair sexual functioning. Polyage Bodily changes associated with ADT (eg, gynecomastia) and decreased libido are antithetical to core components of masculinity ideals and have been shown to contribute to feelings of depression, anxiety, and frustration for the patient, as well as their partner, if they are in a relationship.

Rates of erectile function preservation vary greatly in the literature, depending on the definition of function used, method of data collection, and study end-points. 20,30,31 Pre-treatment erectile function, defined as having an erection firm enough for intercourse, has been reported in approximately 70% of patients. 32,33 Burnett et al<sup>20</sup> reviewed 436 articles on erectile function outcomes after prostate cancer treatment, in which the percentage of patients endorsing functional erections varied from 0-74% for prostatectomy patients and 15-92% for external beam radiation patients. The ProtecT trial<sup>34</sup> was the first randomized control trial for prostate cancer treatments, in which 1,643 prostate cancer patients were randomized to receive either active monitoring, prostatectomy, or radiotherapy. Patients were subsequently followed up over 10 years. Although there was no significant difference in mortality rates between any of the treatment groups, erectile function was lowest for patients after prostatectomy at all time points, being reported by 12%, 21%, and 17% of patients after 6 months, 3 years, and 6 years, respectively. Comparatively, erectile function at the same 3 timepoints was reported by 22%, 34%, and 27% of radiotherapy patients, respectively, and 52%, 41%, and 30% of those in the active monitoring group.<sup>32</sup> These results demonstrate the differential pathways of erectile function outcomes in men undergoing different prostate cancer treatments; that is, for those

men who undergo prostatectomy and experience erectile recovery, functionality typically improves over a 2—3-year period, whereas erectile function decreases over time for men who experience radiotherapy. Unless men receive proper education about expected erectile function recovery trajectories over time for the specific treatment they receive, they are at risk of experiencing even more distress. This is particularly likely to occur in men after radiotherapy if they are misadvised that their erectile function will recover within a couple of years, because it might in men who undergo a prostatectomy, or if they are not prepared for this change.

Certain factors, such as cancer severity, pre-treatment erectile function, age, and the existence of comorbidities such as obesity, cardiovascular problems, or diabetes influence post-treatment sexual function outcomes and can be used to predict the likelihood of successful sexual rehabilitation. 12,29,35-37 In recent years, prostate cancer treatments have been modified with the aim of minimizing the impact on sexual function. For example, some surgeons have introduced the use of nerve-sparing techniques during prostatectomies, whereas others have adopted robotic surgical techniques aimed at maintaining anatomical integrity of periprostatic structure. Although the ability to guarantee preservation of nerve integrity requires microscopic imaging that, presently, is not feasible in the context of these surgeries, the likelihood of preserving erectile function can be improved with use of nerve-sparing techniques. 29,38-41 Relatedly, another way of minimizing negative outcomes for prostate cancer survivors is reserving the use of adjuvant ADT for intervention only in high-risk patients, due to its adverse effect on patient quality of life, particularly relating to sexual function. <sup>29,42</sup> Furthermore, the detrimental impact of ADT on sexual function can be mitigated without compromising survival by opting for intermittent ADT, 43-46 in which patients are given a break in their drug treatment, allowing for androgen levels to begin to

#### PHARMACEUTICALS AS A FIRST-LINE APPROACH

To address prostate cancer survivors' post-operative erectile function, various medical interventions may be recommended. Among the medical interventions available are phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors (PDE5-Is), intracavernous injections, vacuum erection devices, and implantation of a penile prosthesis.<sup>47</sup> Both intracavernous injections and vacuum erection devices are more effective at achieving erections sufficient for penetrative intercourse compared with PDE5-Is, but uptake is low and long-term adherence is poor, unrelated to effectiveness. 48,49 Penile prostheses are recommended as a last-line intervention after pharmacotherapies have been proven ineffective, and, because of the irreversible and invasive nature of this surgery, only a small percentage of prostate cancer survivors will undergo it.<sup>50</sup> In contrast, PDE5-Is such as sildenafil (ie, Viagra) are noninvasive, discrete, and by far the most popular treatment option among prostate cancer survivors<sup>51</sup>; as a result, they are

first-line interventions for sexual recovery in prostate cancer survivors.

At a low dose, PDE5-Is are commonly used to facilitate "penile rehabilitation." This technique is predicated on the hypothesis that reduced nocturnal erection frequency after prostate cancer treatments can lead to reduced penile blood flow and oxygenation, resulting in fibrosis of the corpora cavernosa and, thus, reduced erectile functioning in the long term. Penile rehabilitation uses a daily low dose of tadalafil (ie, Cialis, a PDE5-I) to facilitate blood flow to penile tissue and blood vessels, thus preserving functionality. Men may also take a higher dose of PDE5-I as an as-needed prescription (ie, before a sexual encounter).

Whereas penile rehabilitation via a low dose of PDE5-I use is believed to improve cavernosal oxygenation, preservation of endothelial structure, and prevention of degradation of smooth muscle health, 52,53 this theoretically strong method of preserving post-intervention erectile function has yet to undergo rigorous empirical evaluation. Existing research demonstrates limited effectiveness, both with respect to penile rehabilitation<sup>54</sup> and facilitation of situational erections (ie, aiding erections during partnered sexual activity<sup>51</sup>). Study results indicate that successful treatment with PDE5-Is is largely dependent on factors such as age, time after surgery, and success of bilateral nerve-sparing surgery. 55-57 Furthermore, at a price range of \$5-\$30 CAD per pill, the cost of this intervention can be prohibitively expensive, because it is not covered by the Canadian health care system and rarely covered by extended insurance companies. As a result of limited efficacy and high costs, discontinuation rates are exceedingly high. Studies have shown that only 27-39% of prostate cancer survivors who are prescribed PDE5-Is to address postoperative erectile dysfunction continue to use the medication long-term. 51,58 Inconsistent efficacy and low adherence suggest that medical interventions aimed at erectile function alone are insufficient in meeting the needs of prostate cancer survivors, perhaps because they do not address psychosocial sequelae. Indeed, sexuality is a complex interplay of biologic, psychological, and social factors, and current front-line interventions fail to address the broader scope of contributing factors.

#### EFFICACY OF PSYCHOSEXUAL INTERVENTIONS

Given the complexity of factors influencing sexuality, as well as the increasing number of prostate cancer survivors, best practice recommendations have started to emphasize the need for interventions aimed at addressing psychosexual concerns among prostate cancer survivors and their partners, because many of these side effects are lifelong<sup>20</sup> and lead to significant distress. Although previous research has documented the efficacy of psychosocial interventions in increasing adherence to medical treatments for erectile functioning, efficacy outcomes are inconsistent, <sup>12,59</sup> and adherence rates to medical treatments remain low, <sup>60,61</sup> irrespective of efficacy. The disappointing

efficacy for medical interventions suggests that a single-pronged biomedical approach may be insufficient to address the complex needs of prostate cancer survivors, likely because the psychosocial sequelae remain unaddressed.

In more recent years, empirical evaluation of psychological interventions for prostate cancer survivors has begun to gain traction, but the number of these interventions focused on sexual health is limited. Some studies have reported minimal improvements in sexual functioning, intimacy, and relationship satisfaction. 63-65 A recent systematic review by Chambers and colleagues<sup>66</sup> of psychosocial interventions for prostate cancer survivors and their partners described a total of 5 trials in the literature that reportedly improved sexuality outcomes. However, it is difficult to draw firm conclusions about specific interventions, because the conclusions drawn in the Chambers review are restricted by the same limitations that befall the psychosexual intervention literature as a whole.<sup>67</sup> That is, there is considerable heterogeneity in the existent treatment groups, methodology among these evaluations is lacking rigor in some cases, and no psychosexual interventions draw on evidencedbased theoretical orientations to guide their therapeutic interventions.

As the shift toward psychosexual interventions only began within the past decade or so, a certain amount of heterogeneity across intervention design is to be expected. However, differences across existent studies on psychosexual interventions for prostate cancer survivors are so significant that drawing any overarching conclusions about efficacy is exceedingly difficult. Interventions in the literature vary in duration, from the number of sessions offered to number of hours of intervention; for example, Wittmann et al<sup>68</sup> developed a full day couple's retreat, Walker et al<sup>69</sup> and Hampton et al<sup>70</sup> both developed and evaluated single 3.5hour workshops for couples, Siddons et al<sup>71</sup> offered an 8session cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) protocol for men, and Wootten et al<sup>72</sup> provided online modules offered to men over a 10-week period. Mode of delivery is another factor that varies considerably across studies, including online interventions, 72-74 phone-based sessions, 75 as well as treatment groups, <sup>71,76</sup> and there does not appear to be a consensus on whether to include partners<sup>64,69,77,78</sup> or not.<sup>79,80</sup>

Arguably one of the most significant shortcomings of the current state of the psychosexual interventions available for prostate cancer survivors is the lack of consensus in treatment modality offered across interventions. According to the American Psychological Association, <sup>81</sup> evidence-based practice consists of "integration of the best available research with clinical expertise in the context of patient characteristics, culture, and preferences," but in the field of psychosexual interventions for prostate cancer survivors, there is minimal reliance on evidence-based psychological treatments at present. Few studies reported using a CBT approach, <sup>71,72</sup> which has demonstrated efficacy as a therapeutic treatment, while other interventions (those that reported on content) focused on psychoeducation, <sup>68,75,76,82–84</sup> "sexual

counseling,"<sup>73</sup> an information-motivation-behavioral skills model of behavior change, 70 "intimacy-enhancing therapy," 64 or "support." Although a shift toward psychosexual interventions is promising, these interventions fail to draw on existent evidence-based therapeutic modalities that are likely to help prostate cancer survivors accept the "new normal" that these men face with respect to sexual function, such as interventions that use an acceptance-based or a non-judgmental based model. Although psychoeducation, reality testing skills (ie, CBT), and coping strategies are all important factors in reducing distress about sexual dysfunction, they fail to explicitly teach men (and their partners) the skills to accept the fact that erections may never return, orgasms may never feel the same, penile length may never return, and more. By offering psychosexual interventions that fail to emphasize acceptance-based skills, it is conceivable that prostate cancer survivors' sexual enjoyment will be hampered, because men or their partners hold onto the hope that "things will get back to how they were before cancer," as opposed to focusing on how to enjoy sex now, after treatment.

For prostate cancer survivors, whose sexual function is unlikely to return to pre-treatment levels, there may be great promise in adapting a more acceptance-based approach. Relatedly, the lack of consistent findings across psychosexual interventions for prostate cancer survivors may be due, at least in part, to the focus on changing prostate cancer survivors' sexual functioning (eg, how to integrate penile injections or PDE5-Is into foreplay), with the explicit goal being restoration of erections to their former functional state to facilitate penetrative intercourse. This intention is central to all pharmacologic interventions mentioned in this article, and, arguably, it is inherent in many of the aforementioned psychosexual interventions, too. We posit that a singular focus on restoring sexual functioning to pre-treatment levels may cause men or their partners to become frustrated, anxious, and distressed, feelings that are likely to worsen sexual functioning and take couples farther away from the satisfying sex life they desire. Instead, by teaching skills to help men and their partners build acceptance of "a new normal sex life" after prostate cancer treatments, the aforementioned impediments to sexual function may be mitigated, thereby potentiating improvements to intimacy and sexual satisfaction, no matter how individuals and couples may define "sexual satisfaction."

# THE ROLE OF ACCEPTANCE AND MINDFULNESS IN PROSTATE CANCER SURVIVORS' SEXUAL REHABILITATION

Drawing from current advances in non-cancer psychosexual therapies, strong evidence is building in favor of mindfulness and acceptance-based interventions. In addition to placing an emphasis on acceptance of post-intervention changes to sexual functioning for prostate cancer survivors, mindfulness—defined as non-judgmental present-moment awareness<sup>85</sup>—may offer an enhanced treatment approach to prostate cancer survivors, as well as their intimate partners. Although mindfulness has existed for

≥3,000 years, it has only been in the past 4 decades that it has made its way into western medicine and health care. 86 Efficacy of this treatment modality has been demonstrated in individuals with a variety of health-related problems. 87,88 In samples of men with prostate cancer, mindfulness training improves psychological outcomes, such as mood and quality of life, 89,90 as well as physiological variables, such as immunologic parameters. 89

Since 2003, mindfulness has been adapted and tested in a variety of different populations of women experiencing sexual health difficulties, including low sexual desire, genital pain, and sexual dysfunction caused by gynecologic cancer. 91–95 Mindfulness has also been used with couples who do not have cancer to improve intimacy. As reviewed by Arora and Brotto, 77 the benefits of mindfulness on sexual function may be related to increases in participants' interoceptive awareness, their reduced distractibility during sex, improvements in their self-judgment, and greater attention to sexual arousal. In a preliminary pilot study, we found that mindfulness, when administered to groups of men who experienced situational erectile dysfunction, significantly improved erectile function, overall sexual satisfaction, and the non-judgmental observing of one's sensations. 98

Based on the available evidence with women, we hypothesize that mindfulness may improve prostate cancer survivors' attentional focus, thus reducing distractions related to poor erectile functioning, body image, or distress from a cancer diagnosis/ treatments. By encouraging men to pay attention to present-moment sensations and let go of future-oriented hyper-focus on erections, we predict that survivors of prostate cancer will have improvements in the domain of sexual and relationship satisfaction, even if erectile function does not improve.

#### APPLICATION OF MINDFULNESS EXERCISES IN MEN WITH PROSTATE CANCER AND THEIR PARTNERS

Regular mindfulness practice has been shown to improve psychological, physiological, and social outcomes. 99 Mindfulness practice can be done using a wide variety of activities and exercises; however, all exercises involve the same underlying practice of attending to the present moment with equanimity. Commonly cited mindfulness exercises include the Body Scan (whereby participants practice bringing non-judgmental attention to different parts of the body in successive order), the Raisin exercise (in which participants use a raisin as their point of attentional focus, using each sense, including smell, sound, touch, sight, and eventually taste, when they eat the raisin), or Mindfulness of Thoughts (where participants attend to the mental events of the mind in a non-judgmental fashion). In the case of mindfulness-based sex therapy, clinical researchers have found improvements in sexual well-being by practicing mindfulness in these non-sexual settings to build the foundational acceptance-based skills and, eventually, bringing these skills into a sexual context. 87-91 In the case of prostate cancer survivors, we recommend that mindfulness and acceptance be integrated into

patient care in much the same way. In this section, we present 2 example exercises that can be used with prostate cancer survivors, either alone or with an intimate partner.

Back to Back Sensing is a mindfulness-based intervention to be practiced by couples and was created by Kocsis and Newbery-Helps 100 for a 6-session protocol aimed at incorporating mindfulness into sex and intimacy in relationships. In this exercise, couples are instructed to sit or stand facing opposite directions with their backs touching. Over a course of approximately 20 minutes, both members of the couple are instructed to cultivate a non-judgmental curiosity and awareness to first the breath, then the sensation of their partner's back against theirs, and finally to expand their field of awareness to include the entire body. Facilitator prompts are included in the exercise to bring awareness back to the present moment each time it wanders and to bring a sense of acceptance and compassion to whatever arises in that field of awareness. The purpose of this exercise is to heighten awareness of physical sensations in the body in as much detail as possible, with an attitude of curiosity and acceptance, while also including their partner in the exercise. Frequently, thoughts, judgments, or emotions may arise regarding the partner or the relationship (eg, a longing for things to be as they were; a sense of support or closeness at the notice of the warmth of a partner's back; frustration with a partner for moving or fidgeting during the exercise); participants are simply invited to note and acknowledge these responses. This exercise can be done at home or in a therapeutic setting and, when done in a therapeutic setting, is best followed by a formal therapist-led inquiry process afterward, where participants are encouraged to discuss (i) their observation of the direct experience; (ii) the effects of bringing awareness to the experience; and (iii) applications to the inner and outer experiences in daily life, particularly in intimate settings.

Sensate focus is a well-known exercise in the field of sex therapy, 101,102 in which members of a couple practice taking turns giving and receiving touch, with the goal of cultivating acceptance and curiosity, as opposed to focusing on achieving a sexual goal, such as sexual pleasure, penetration, or orgasm. More recently, sensate focus has been recognized as a mindfulness-based therapeutic intervention, given that a core element of this exercise is to engage in acceptance of the present moment experience, as opposed to seeking a particular goal. As stated by Weiner and Avery-Clark 102: "When mindful instructions for Sensate Focus are followed, focusing on sensations becomes the avenue into arousal and pleasure because the autonomic nervous system is allowed to do its job, and these natural experiences are no longer the primary, conscious goal" (p. 310). For prostate cancer survivors, where physiological arousal in the form of an erection is likely no longer possible, present-moment awareness of the sensations associated with physical contact with a partner may in turn lead to a more pleasurable intimate or sexual experience, without the focus on sexual function, per se.

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- Current front-line medical/pharmacologic interventions for sexual difficulties after prostate cancer treatment fall short of meeting the sexual health needs of prostate cancer survivors and their partners, because long-term adherence is exceedingly low. These findings point toward adopting a bio-psycho-social approach as a more appropriate interventional pathway for this population.
- Existent psychosocial interventions aimed at addressing sexual health in prostate cancer populations fall short in terms of methodologic rigor, and conclusions cannot be drawn about these interventions as a whole because of inconsistencies in therapeutic approaches, evaluation methodologies, and modes of treatment delivery.
- We, as clinical researchers, are doing prostate cancer survivors a
  disservice by focusing on erectile functioning as a primary
  outcome to indicate treatment effectiveness, because erectile
  functioning is unlikely to return to pre-treatment levels, and
  this focus may, in fact, exacerbate patients' feelings of hopelessness and disappointment after prostate cancer treatment.
- Furthermore, focus on erectile functioning as a primary treatment outcome emphasizes a performance-based approach to sexual intimacy, which may in turn work against the goal of improving sexual intimacy and sexual satisfaction (however individuals and couples may define these terms).
- Acceptance and mindfulness-based therapeutic approaches to improve sexual intimacy outcomes have been shown to be effective in female populations with sexual dysfunction, including gynecological cancer populations. This approach has also been shown as a promising and feasible intervention for men with sexual dysfunction.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION

We conclude this review article with 3 recommendations. First, we encourage researchers to study the impact of acceptance- and mindfulness-based approaches in improving quality of sexual life and (non-erection-focused) sexual health outcomes among survivors of prostate cancer. There is a need to establish the effect size of these approaches and to track improvements over time. Moreover, there is a critical need to identify the men for whom a mindfulness-based approach may be best suited.

Second, our recommendation to clinicians offering supportive care to men (and their partners) after prostate cancer is to consider the role of mindfulness as an adjunct to first-line treatment, or—pending further research—as a replacement to current pharmacologic first-line treatment approaches among men seeking care. In multidisciplinary centers that offer prostate cancer supportive care, where urologists, sexual medicine specialists, nurses, and mental health—trained professionals are working collaboratively, mindfulness has already been recommended as a means of cultivating non-painful pleasant touch. <sup>103</sup> It is possible for men to first establish a foundation in

mindfulness practice, such as those learned from practicing the Body Scan, mindfulness of breath, and mindfulness of sounds and thoughts, to set the foundation for sexual recovery, whatever that may look like for men. Another option would be to consider offering mindfulness-based interventions at the time of prostate cancer diagnosis, because mindfulness has been shown to help men cope with a diagnosis of prostate cancer. By By establishing a mindfulness practice in the time before onset of post-interventional sexual dysfunction, we predict that men will experience better quality of life and sexual health outcomes. Available apps, such as Headspace, Calm, and Happify, may be sufficient for teaching the core mindfulness skills to men.

Last, we recommend that men, together with their partners, integrate these learned skills in present-moment, non-judgmental awareness into the sexual context. This may involve the practice of sensate focus <sup>104</sup> or learning the number of mindfulness skills that have been applied to women within their sexual contexts. <sup>105</sup> The goal of integrating mindfulness into a sexual context is to move the focus away from a performance-based view of sexual intimacy toward a non-judgmental, present-moment view, creating room for increased focus on pleasure, enjoyment, or intimacy over erectile function.

Corresponding Author: Lori A. Brotto, PhD, Obstetrics & Gynaecology, University of British Columbia, 2775 Laurel Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V5Z1M9. Tel: +1 604 875 4111; Fax: 604-875-4869; E-mail: Lori.Brotto@vch.ca

Conflict of Interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Funding: This work was supported by a Prostate Cancer Canada Movember Discovery Grant [Grant number D2017-1893].

#### STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP

#### Category 1

- (a) Conception and Design Jennifer A. Bossio; Faith Miller; Julia I. O'Loughlin; Lori A. Brotto
- (b) Acquisition of Data Jennifer A. Bossio; Faith Miller; Julia I. O'Loughlin; Lori A. Brotto
- (c) Analysis and Interpretation of Data Jennifer A. Bossio; Faith Miller; Julia I. O'Loughlin; Lori A. Brotto

#### Category 2

- (a) Drafting the Article

  Jennifer A. Bossio; Faith Miller; Julia I. O'Loughlin; Lori A. Brotto
- (b) Revising It for Intellectual Content Jennifer A. Bossio; Faith Miller; Julia I. O'Loughlin; Lori A. Brotto

#### Category 3

(a) Final Approval of the Completed Article
Jennifer A. Bossio; Faith Miller; Julia I. O'Loughlin; Lori A.
Brotto

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Haas GP, Delongchamps N, Brawley OW, et al. The worldwide epidemiology of prostate cancer: Perspectives from autopsy studies. Can J Urology 2008;15:3866.
- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al. Cancer statistics 2009. CA Cancer J Clin 2009;59:225-249.
- Delongchamps NB, Singh A, Haas GP. Epidemiology of prostate cancer in Africa: Another step in the understanding of the disease? Curr Probl Cancer 2007;31:226-236.
- 4. Noone AM, Howlader N, Krapcho M, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review 1975—2015. Available from: https://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975\_2015/. Accessed January 8, 2019.
- Canadian Cancer Society. Canadian Cancer Statistics 2007.
   Toronto: Canadian Cancer Society; 2007.
- **6.** American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts & Figures 2008. Atlanta: American Cancer Society; 2008.
- Hsing AW, Tsao L, Devesa SS. International trends and patterns of prostate cancer incidence and mortality. Int J Cancer 2000;85:60-67.
- 8. Brawley OW. Prostate cancer epidemiology in the United States. World J Urol 2012;30:195-200.
- Collin SM, Martin RM, Metcalfe C, et al. Prostate-cancer mortality in the USA and UK in 1975—2004: An ecological study. Lancet Oncol 2008;9:445-452.
- Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. CA Cancer J Clin 2013;63:11-30.
- Sanchez-Cruz JJ, Cabrera-Leon A, Martin-Morales A, et al. Male erectile dysfunction and health-related quality of life. Eur Urol 2003;44:245-253.
- Tal R, Alphs HH, Krebs P, et al. Erectile function recovery rate after radical prostatectomy: A meta-analysis. J Sex Med 2009;6:2538-2546.
- Bloch S, Love A, Macvean M, et al. Psychological adjustment of men with prostate cancer: A review of the literature. Bio-PsychoSocial Medicine 2007;1:2.
- 14. Bradley EB, Bissonette EA, Theodorescu D. Determinants of long-term quality of life and voiding function of patients treated with radical prostatectomy or permanent brachytherapy for prostate cancer. BJU Int 2004;94:1003-1009.
- Fleming C, Wasson JH, Albertsen PC, et al. A decision analysis of alternative treatment strategies for clinically localized prostate cancer. JAMA 1993;269:2650-2658.
- Bernat JK, Wittman DA, Hawley ST, et al. Symptom burden and information needs in prostate cancer survivors: A case for tailored long-term survivorship care. BJU Int 2016;118:372-378.
- Darwish-Yassine M, Berenji M, Wing D, et al. Evaluating longterm patient-centered outcomes following prostate cancer treatment: Findings from the Michigan Prostate Cancer Survivor study. J Cancer Surviv 2014;8:121-130.
- Ream E, Quennell A, Fincham L, et al. Supportive care needs of men living with prostate cancer in England: A survey. Br J Cancer 2008;98:1903-1909.

- 19. Walsh PC. Anatomic radical prostatectomy: Evolution of the surgical technique. J Urol 1998;160:2418-2424.
- Burnett AL, Aus G, Canby-Hagino ED, et al. Erectile function outcome reporting after clinically localized prostate cancer treatment. J Urol 2007;178:597-601.
- Tal R, Heck M, Teloken P, et al. Peyronie's disease following radical prostatectomy: Incidence and predictors. J Sex Med 2010;7:1254-1261.
- Hollenbeck BK, Dunn RL, Wei JT, et al. Determinants of longterm sexual health outcome after radical prostatectomy measured by a validated instrument. J Urol 2003;169:1453.
- Lee J, Hersey K, Lee CT, et al. Climacturia following radical prostatectomy: Prevalence and risk factors. J Urol 2006; 176:2562-2565.
- Kirschner-Hermanns R, Jakse G. Quality of life following radical prostatectomy. Crit Rev Oncol/Hematol 2002;43:141.
- Kornblith AB, Herr HW, Ofman US, et al. Quality of life of patients with prostate cancer and their spouses. Cancer 1994;73:2791-2802.
- 26. Park KK, Lee SH, Chung BH. The effects of long-term androgen deprivation therapy on penile length in patients with prostate cancer: A single-center, prospective, open-label, observational study. J Sex Med 2011;8:3214-3219.
- Higano C. Sexuality and intimacy after definitive treatment and subsequent androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:3720-3725.
- Potosky A, Knopf K, Clegg L, et al. Quality-of-life outcomes after primary androgen deprivation therapy: Results from the prostate cancer outcomes study. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:3750-3757.
- 29. Sanda MG, Dunn RL, Michalski J, et al. Quality of life and satisfaction with outcome among prostate-cancer survivors. N Engl J Med 2008;358:1250-1261.
- 30. Krupski TL, Saigal CS, Litwin MS. Variation in continence and potency by definition. J Urol 2003;170:1291-1294.
- **31.** Sonn GA, Sadetsky N, Presti JC. Differing perceptions of quality of life in patients with prostate cancer and their doctors. J Urol 2009;182:2296-2302.
- Donovan JL, Hamdy FC, Lane JA, et al. Patient-reported outcomes after monitoring, surgery, or radiotherapy for prostate cancer. N Engl J Med 2016;375:1425-1437.
- 33. Ploussard G, Xylinas E, Salomon L, et al. Robot-assisted extraperitoneal laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: Experience in a high-volume laparoscopy reference centre. BJU Int 2010;105:1155-1160.
- 34. Hamdy FC, Donovan JL, Lane JA, et al. 10-year outcomes after monitoring, surgery, or radiotherapy for localized prostate cancer. N Engl J Med 2016;375:1415-1424.
- 35. Briganti A, Gallina A, Suardi N, et al. Predicting erectile function recovery after bilateral nerve sparing radical prostatectomy: A proposal of a novel preoperative risk stratification. J Sex Med 2010;7:2521-2531.
- Rabbani F, Stapleton A, Kattan MW, et al. Factors predicting recovery of erections after radical prostatectomy. J Urol 2000;164:1929-1934.

- Gandaglia G, Lista G, Fossati N, et al. Non-surgically related causes of erectile dysfunction after bilateral nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy. Prostate Cancer Prostat Dis 2016; 19:185-190.
- Catalona WJ, Carvalhal GF, Mager DE, et al. Potency, continence, and complication rates in 1,870 consecutive radical retropubic prostatectomies. J Urol 1999;162:433-438.
- Quinlan DM, Epstein JI, Carter BS, et al. Sexual function following radical prostatectomy: Influence of preservation of neurovascular bundles. J Urol 1991;145:998-1002.
- 40. Briganti A, Capitanio U, Chun FK, et al. Prediction of sexual function after radical prostatectomy. Cancer 2009;115:3150-3159.
- Allan C, Ilic D. Laparoscopic versus robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy for the treatment of localised prostate cancer: A systematic review. Urol Int 2016;96:373-378.
- Donovan K, Walker L, Wassersug R, et al. Psychological effects of androgen-deprivation therapy on men with prostate cancer and their partners. Cancer 2015;121:4286-4299.
- 43. Rashid MH, Chaudhary UB. Intermittent androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. **Oncologist 2004**;9:295.
- 44. Pether M, Goldenberg SL, Bhagirath K, et al. Intermittent androgen suppression in prostate cancer: An update of the Vancouver experience. Cancer J Urol 2003;10:1809.
- **45.** Tsai H, Pfeiffer RM, Philips GK, et al. Risks of serious toxicities from intermittent versus continuous androgen deprivation therapy for advanced prostate cancer: A population based study. J Urol 2017;197:1251-1257.
- 46. Crook JM, O'Callaghan CJ, Duncan G, et al. Intermittent androgen suppression for rising PSA level after radiotherapy. N Engl J Med 2012;367:895-903.
- 47. Kacker R, O'leary MP. Penile rehabilitation after radical prostatectomy. Trends Urol Mens Health 2013;4:12-16.
- 48. Polito M, d'Anzeo G, Conti A, et al. Erectile rehabilitation with intracavernous alprostadil after radical prostatectomy: Refusal and dropout rates. BJU Int 2012;110:E954-E957.
- 49. Gontero P, Fontana F, Zitella A, et al. A prospective evaluation of efficacy and compliance with a multistep treatment approach for erectile dysfunction in patients after non-nerve sparing radical prostatectomy. BJU Int 2005;95:359-365.
- 50. Schover L, van der Kaaij M, van Dorst E, et al. Sexual dysfunction and infertility as late effects of cancer treatment. EJC Suppl 2014;12:41-53.
- 51. Schover LR, Fouladi RT, Warneke CL, et al. The use of treatments for erectile dysfunction among survivors of prostate carcinoma. Cancer 2002;95:2397-2407.
- Mulhall JP. Penile rehabilitation following radical prostatectomy. Curr Opin Urol 2008;18:613-620.
- 53. Mulhall JP, Bivalacqua TJ, Becher EF. Standard operating procedure for the preservation of erectile function outcomes after radical prostatectomy. J Sex Med 2013;10:195-203.
- 54. Gandaglia G, Suardi N, Cucchiara V, et al. Penile rehabilitation after radical prostatectomy: Does it work? **Transl Androl Urol** 2015;4:110-123.

 Feng Ml, Huang S, Kaptein J, et al. Effect of sildenafil citrate on post-radical prostatectomy erectile dysfunction. J Urol 2000;164:1935-1938.

- 56. Zagaja GP, Mhoon DA, Aikens JE, et al. Sildenafil in the treatment of erectile dysfunction after radical prostatectomy. Urology 2000;56:631-634.
- 57. Zippe CD, Kedia AW, Kedia K, et al. Treatment of erectile dysfunction after radical prostatectomy with sildenafil citrate (Viagra). **Urology 1998;52:963-966**.
- 58. Salonia A, Gallina A, Zanni G, et al. Acceptance of and discontinuation rate from erectile dysfunction oral treatment in patients following bilateral nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy. Eur Urol 2008;53:564-570.
- Robinson JW, Moritz S, Fung T. Meta-analysis of rates of erectile function after treatment of localized prostate carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002;54:1063-1068.
- **60.** Carvalheira AA, Pereira NM, Maroco J, et al. Dropout in the treatment of erectile dysfunction with PDE5: A study on predictors and a qualitative analysis of reasons for discontinuation. J Sex Med 2012;9:2361-2369.
- Hanash KA. Comparative results of goal oriented therapy for erectile dysfunction. J Urol 1997;157:2135-2138.
- 62. Matthew AG, Goldman A, Trachtenberg J, et al. Sexual dysfunction after radical prostatectomy: Prevalence, treatments, restricted use of treatments and distress. J Urol 2005;174:2105-2110.
- **63.** Walker LM, Wassersug RJ, Robinson JW. Psychosocial perspectives on sexual recovery after prostate cancer treatment. Nature Rev Urol 2015;12:167-176.
- 64. Manne SL, Kissane DW, Nelson CJ, et al. Intimacy-enhancing psychological intervention for men diagnosed with prostate cancer and their partners: A pilot study. J Sex Med 2011; 8:1197-1209.
- 65. Wittmann D, Koontz BF. Evidence supporting couple-based interventions for the recovery of sexual intimacy after prostate cancer treatment. Curr Sex Health Rep 2017;9:32-41.
- 66. Chambers SK, Hyde MK, Smith DP, et al. New Challenges in Psycho-Oncology Research III: A systematic review of psychological interventions for prostate cancer survivors and their partners: Clinical and research implications. Psychooncol 2017;26:873-913.
- 67. McCaughan E, Curran C, Northouse L, et al. Evaluating a psychosocial intervention for men with prostate cancer and their partners: Outcomes and lessons learned from a randomized controlled trial. Appl Nurs Res 2018;40:143-151.
- **68.** Wittmann D, He C, Mitchell S, et al. A one-day couple group intervention to enhance sexual recovery for surgically treated men with prostate cancer and their partners: A pilot study. Urol Nurs 2013;33:140-147.
- Walker LM, King N, Kwasny Z, et al. Intimacy after prostate cancer: A brief couples' workshop is associated with improvements in relationship satisfaction. Psychooncol 2016; 26:1336-1346.
- Hampton AJ, Walker LM, Beck A, et al. A brief couples' workshop for improving sexual experiences after prostate

- cancer treatment: A feasibility study. Support Care Cancer 2013;21:3403-3409.
- Siddons HM, Wootten AC, Costello AJ. A randomised, waitlist controlled trial: Evaluation of a cognitive-behavioural group intervention on psycho-sexual adjustment for men with localised prostate cancer. Psychooncol 2013;22:2186-2192.
- 72. Wootten AC, Meyer D, Abbott JM, et al. An online psychological intervention can improve the sexual satisfaction of men following treatment for localized prostate cancer: Outcomes of a randomised controlled trial evaluating my road ahead. Psychooncol 2017;26:975-981.
- Schover LR, Canada AL, Yuan Y, et al. A randomized trial of internet-based versus traditional sexual counseling for couples after localized prostate cancer treatment. Cancer 2012; 118:500-509.
- 74. Song L, Rini C, Deal AM, et al. Improving couples' quality of life through a web-based prostate cancer education intervention. Oncol Nurs Forum 2015;42:183.
- **75.** Chambers SK, Occhipinti S, Schover L, et al. A randomised controlled trial of a couples-based sexuality intervention for men with localised prostate cancer and their female partners. Psychooncol 2015;24:748-756.
- 76. Walker LM, Hampton AJ, Wassersug RJ, et al. Androgen deprivation therapy and maintenance of intimacy: A randomized controlled pilot study of an educational intervention for patients and their partners. Contemporary Clin Trials 2013;34:227-231.
- Canada A, Neese L, Sul D, et al. Pilot intervention to enhance sexual rehabilitation for couples after treatment for localized prostate carcinoma. Cancer 2005;104:2689-2700.
- 78. Robertson JM, Molloy GJ, Bollina PR, et al. Exploring the feasibility and acceptability of couple-based psychosexual support following prostate cancer surgery: study protocol for a pilot randomised controlled trial. Trials 2014;15:183.
- McCaughan E, Prue G, McSorley O, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a self-management psychosocial intervention for men with prostate cancer and their partners: A study protocol. J Adv Nurs 2013;69:2572-2583.
- **80.** Wootten AC, Abbott JM, Osborne D, et al. The impact of prostate cancer on partners: A qualitative exploration. Psycho-oncol 2014;23:1252-1258.
- American Psychological Association (APA). Evidence-based practice in psychology: APA presidential task force on evidence-based practice. Am Psychol 2006;61:271-285.
- 82. Chambers SK, Schover L, Halford K, et al. ProsCan for Couples: Randomised controlled trial of a couples-based sexuality intervention for men with localised prostate cancer who receive radical prostatectomy. BMC Cancer 2008;8:226.
- 83. Helgeson VS, Lepore SJ, Eton DT. Moderators of the benefits of psychoeducational interventions for men with prostate cancer. Health Psychol 2006;25:348.
- 84. Manne S, Babb J, Pinover W, et al. Psychoeducational group intervention for wives of men with prostate cancer. Psychooncol 2004;13:37-46.

- 85. Bishop S, Lau M, Shapiro S, et al. Mindfulness: A proposed operational defition. Clin Psychol 2004;11:230-241.
- 86. Kabat-Zinn J. Full Catastrophe Living: Using the Wisdom of Your Body and Mind to Face Stress, Pain and Illness. New York: Delta; 1991.
- 87. Grossman P, Niemann L, Schmidt S, et al. Mindfulness-based stress reduction and health benefits: A meta-analysis. J Psychosomat Res 2004;57:35-43.
- 88. Gotink RA, Chu P, Busschbach JJ, et al. Standardised mindfulness-based interventions in healthcare: An overview of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of RCTs. PLoS One 2015;10:e0124344.
- 89. Carlson LE, Speca M, Patel KD, et al. Mindfulness-based stress reduction in relation to quality of life, mood, symptoms of stress, and immune parameters in breast and prostate cancer outpatients. Psychosomat Med 2003;65:571-581.
- Ledesma D, Kumano H. Mindfulness-based stress reduction and cancer: a meta-analysis. Psychooncol 2009;18:571-579.
- Brotto LA, Basson R, Luria M. A mindfulness-based group psychoeducational intervention targeting sexual arousal disorder in women. J Sex Med 2008;5:1646-1659.
- Brotto LA, Heiman JR, Goff B, et al. A psychoeducational intervention for sexual dysfunction in women with gynecologic cancer. Archives of Sexual Behavior 2008;37:317-329.
- 93. Brotto LA, Erskine Y, Carey M, et al. A brief mindfulness-based cognitive behavioral intervention improves sexual functioning versus wait-list control in women treated for gynecologic cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2012;125:320-325.
- 94. Brotto LA, Basson R. Group mindfulness-based therapy significantly improves sexual desire in women. Behav Res Ther 2014;57:43-54.
- 95. Brotto LA, Basson R, Driscoll M, et al. Mindfulness-based group therapy for women with provoked vestibulodynia. Mindfulness 2015;6:417-432.

- 96. Kocsis A, Newbury-Helps J. Mindfulness in sex therapy and intimate relationships (MSIR): Clinical protocol and theory development. Mindfulness 2016;7:690-699.
- 97. Arora N, Brotto LA. How does paying attention improve sexual functioning in women? A review of mechanisms. Sex Med Rev 2017;5:266-274.
- 98. Bossio J, Basson R, Driscoll M, et al. Mindfulness-based group therapy for men with situational erectile dysfunction: A mixed-methods feasibility analysis and pilot study. J Sex Med 2018;15:1478-1490.
- 99. De Vibe MF, Bjørndal A, Fattah S, et al. Mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) for improving health, quality of life and social functioning in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Available at: https://campbellcollaboration.org/library/mindfulness-stress-reduction-for-adults.html. Accessed January 11, 2019.
- 100. Kocsis A, Newbury-Helps J. Mindfulness for Sex and Intimacy in Relationships: Protocol for 6-session Group. London, United Kingdom: Jane Wadsworth Clinic, St Mary's Hospital; 2016.
- 101. Masters W, Johnson VE. Human Sexual Response. New York, NY: Little, Brown and Company; 1966.
- Weiner L, Avery-Clark C. Sensate focus: Clarifying the Masters and Johnson's model. Sex Relationship Ther 2014; 29:307-319.
- 103. Elliott S, Matthew A. Sexual recovery following prostate cancer: Recommendations from 2 established Canadian sexual rehabilitation clinics. Sex Med Rev 2017;6:279-294.
- 104. Avery-Clark C, Weiner L. Sensate Focus in Sex Therapy. New York: Routledge; 2017.
- 105. Brotto L. Better Sex Through Mindfulness. Vancouver: Greystone Books; 2018.